YU | MOHANDESI LLP 1055 W. 7th St., Suite 2150 Los Angeles, CA 90017

EXHIBIT A

	SUM-100
SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)	FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)
IOTICE TO DEFENDANT: AVISO AL DEMANDADO):	FILED
LVNV FUNDING, LLC	Clark of the Superior Court
OU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:	JUL 1 2 2013
LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):	By: L. MELIN-ALVAREZ, Deputy
HUGH SABEL	
NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard un below. You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you, Your written response must be case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the court the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the may be taken without further warning from the court. There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services froit these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), it (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. No costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lier [AVISOI Lo han demandado. SI no responde dentro de 30 dias, la corte puede decidir en su continuación. Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles lega corte y hacer que se entregue una copla al demandante. Una carta o una llamada teletónica nen formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un fe Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a liempo podrá quitar su sueido, dinero y blenes sin más advertencia. Hay otros requisitos legales. Es reconendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisis programa de serviclos legales sin fines de luc	file a written response at this court and have a copy e in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your torms and more information at the California Courts ouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask e case by default, and your wages, money, and property not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney may nonprofit legal services program. You can locate the California Courts Online Self-Help Center OTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and must be paid before the court will dismiss the case, contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la Información a ales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar formularlo que usted pueda usar para su respuesta, a cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte le no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de itos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un cro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el os costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre sión de arbitraje-en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que
he name and address of the court is: El nombre y dirección de la corte es): Superior Court of San Diego .	CASE NUMBER: (Número del Ceso): 37-2013-00057205-CU-NP-NC
325 South Melrose Dr. Vista, CA 92081	37-20 (3-00037203 0 0
rista, CA 92001 The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or plaintiff without a El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del d Fodd M. Friedman, 369 S. Doheny Dr., #415, Beverly Hills, CA 9021	demandante que no tiene abogado, es):
OATE: JUL 1 2 2013 Clerk, by (Secretario)	L. MELIN-ALVAREZ Deputy (Adjunto)
For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form POS-C	ניינט וינ

SUMMONS

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. July 1, 2009] Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 465 www.courtinfo.ca.gov American LegalNet, Inc. www.FormsWorkflow.com

Page 1 of 1

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for Defendant's violations of the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal Civ Code §1788, et seq. (hereinafter "RFDCPA"), Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., ("FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices and for Defendant's violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. §1681 (hereinafter "FCRA"), which regulates the collection, dissemination, and use of consumer information, including consumer credit information.

II. PARTIES

Ť

2. Plaintiff, Hugh Sabel ("Plaintiff"), is a natural person residing in San Diego County in the state of California, and is a "debtor" as defined by Cal Civ Code §1788.2(h), a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3). Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. §1681a.

3. At all relevant times herein, Defendant, LVNV Funding, LLC ("Defendant") was a company engaged, by use of the mails and telephone, in the business of collecting a debt from Plaintiff which qualifies as a "consumer debt," as defined by Cal Civ Code §1788.2(f) and a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5). Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due them, and therefore is a "debt collector" as defined by the RFDCPA, Cal Civ Code §1788.2(c) and is a "debt" collector as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6). Further, Defendant regularly provides information to consumer reporting agencies and is therefore an "information furnisher" as defined by the FCRA.

III. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 4. At various and multiple times prior to the filing of the instant complaint, including within the one year preceding the filing of this complaint, Defendant reported derogatory information on Plaintiff's credit report. Defendant alleges that Plaintiff still owes a past due balance owed on an account ending in the numbers 0334.
- 5. In both April and May of 2012, Plaintiff caused to be delivered written correspondence to Defendant disputing the alleged debt. Plaintiff's correspondences further requested that Defendant provide Plaintiff with written documentation establishing the existence of the account and that any such debt was and/or is actually owed by Plaintiff.

\$

 6. Despite having received Plaintiff's correspondences, Defendant continued to attempt to collect a debt from Plaintiff. Defendant further continued to report the alleged debt on Plaintiff's credit report(s) without as much as even updating the information reported to the credit bureaus that Plaintiff had disputed the alleged debt at issue.

- 7. In February of 2013, as Defendant had still failed to make any updates as to the disputed status of the account on Plaintiff's credit report and continued to report the alleged debt as past due and owing, Plaintiff delivered a written correspondence to Experian, a credit bureau.
- 8. On or about April 2, 2013, Experian responded to Plaintiff's February 2013 correspondence and confirmed that Experian had updated Plaintiff's credit report as "disputed" as it pertains to the alleged Debt Defendant alleges is owed.
- 9. Defendant in no part willingly or voluntarily made any efforts whatsoever to update or delete the credit reporting it placed on Plaintiff's credit report at any time from 2012 to the present as it pertains to account number ending in 0334.
- 10. §1788.17 of the RFDCPA mandates that every debt collector collecting on attempting to collect a consumer debt shall comply with the provisions of Sections 1692b to 1692j, inclusive, of, and shall be subject to the remedies in Section 1692k of, Title 15 of the United States Code statutory regulations contained within the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §1692d, and §1692d(5).
- 11. Defendant's conduct violated the RFDCPA in multiple ways, including but not limited to:
 - a) Falsely representing the character, amount, or legal status of Plaintiff's debt (§1692e(2)(A));
 - b) Communicating or threatening to communicate credit information which is known or which should be known to be false (§1692e(8)); and

c) Using false representations and deceptive practices in connection with collection of an alleged debt from Plaintiff (§1692e(10).

- 12. Defendant has been providing derogatory and inaccurate statements and information relating to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's credit history to various credit reporting agencies, as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. 1681a(f).
- 13. Defendant is aware that the credit reporting agencies to which they are providing this information are going to disseminate this information to various other persons or parties who will be reviewing this information for the purpose of extending credit, insurance or employment.
- 14. As a result of Defendant's inaccurate reporting of Plaintiff's account, Plaintiff's credit score decreased.
- 15. The inaccurate information negatively reflects upon the Plaintiff, Plaintiff's credit repayment history, Plaintiff's financial responsibility as a debtor and Plaintiff's credit worthiness.
- 16. The credit reports have been and continue to be disseminated to various persons and credit grantors, both known and unknown.
- 17. Plaintiff has been damaged, and continues to be damaged, in the following ways:
 - a. Denial of credit opportunity;
 - b. Emotional distress and mental anguish associated with having incorrect derogatory personal information transmitted about Plaintiff to other people both known and unknown; and
 - Decreased credit score which may result in inability to obtain credit on future attempts.
- 18. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant was acting by and through its agents, servants and/or employees who were acting within the course and scope of their agency or employment, and under the direct supervision and control of Defendant herein.

- 19. At all times pertinent hereto, the conduct of Defendant, as well as that of its agents, servants and/or employees, was malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, and in grossly negligent disregard for federal and state laws and the rights of Plaintiffs herein.
- 20. Defendant violated sections 1681n and 1681o of the FCRA by engaging in the following conduct that violates 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b):
 - a. Willfully and negligently continuing to furnish and disseminate inaccurate and derogatory credit, account and other information concerning the Plaintiff to credit reporting agencies and other entities despite knowing that said information was inaccurate; and,
 - b. Willfully and negligently failing to comply with the requirements imposed on furnishers of information pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2.
- 21. Defendant's conduct was a direct and proximate cause, as well as a substantial factor, in causing the injuries, damages and harm to Plaintiff that are outlined more fully above, and as a result, Defendant is liable to compensate Plaintiff for the full amount of statutory, actual and punitive damages, along with attorneys' fees and costs, as well as such other relief permitted by law.
- 22. Further, Defendant failed to notify Plaintiff of their intention to report negative information on their credit reports. Defendant then failed to correct the disputed information within thirty days of Plaintiff's dispute of that information.
- 23. As a result of the above violations of the RFDCPA, FDCPA and FCRA, Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer injury to Plaintiff's feelings, personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish and emotional distress, and Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for Plaintiff's actual damages, statutory damages, and costs and attorney's fees.

26

27

28

COUNT I: VIOLATION OF ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 24. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.
- 25. To the extent that Defendant's actions, counted above, violated the RFDCPA, those actions were done knowingly and willfully.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant for the following:

- A. Actual damages;
- B. Statutory damages for willful and negligent violations;
- C. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and
- D. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

COUNT II: VIOLATION OF FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 26. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.
- 27. To the extent that Defendant's actions, counted above, violated the FDCPA, those actions were done knowingly and willfully.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant for the following:

- A. Actual damages;
- B. Statutory damages for willful and negligent violations;
- C. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and
- D. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

COUNT III: VIOLATION OF THE FAIR CREDIT REPORTING ACT

- 28. Plaintiff reincorporates by reference all of the preceding paragraphs.
- 29. To the extent that Defendant's actions, counted above, violated the FCRA, those actions were done knowingly and willfully.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays that judgment be entered against the Defendant for the following:

- A. Actual damages;
- B. Statutory damages;
- C. Punitive Damages;
- D. Costs and reasonable attorney's fees; and,
- E. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

PLAINTIFF HEREBY REQUESTS A TRIAL BY JURY

Respectfully submitted this 28th day of June, 2013

By:

Todd M. Friedman, Esq.

Law Offices of Todd M. Friedman, P.C.

Attorney for Plaintiff